



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,876	01/20/2006	Brian G. Condie	18377-0063	3910
29052 7590 06/28/2007 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309			EXAMINER HILL, KEVIN KAI	
			ART UNIT 1633	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/551,876	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CONDIE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin K. Hill, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1633	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-15,17-25,36-39,46 and 48-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-15,17-25,36-39,46 and 48-60 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

*Election/Restrictions*

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claims 1, 3-6, 9-11, 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named non-transition state analogue, e.g., DAPT.

Group II, Claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named transition state analogue, which is III-31-C.

Group III, Claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named transition state analogue, which is L-685,458.

Group IV, Claims 1, 3-11 and 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named transition state analogue, which is a substrate-based difluoroketone peptidomimetic (DFK-167).

Group V, Claims 1, 3-5, 9-11 and 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named inhibitor of at least one component of the gamma-secretase complex, which is helical peptides containing  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyric acid.

Group VI, Claims 1, 3-5, 9-11 and 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named inhibitor of at least one component of the gamma-secretase complex, which is a Fenchylamine Sulfonamide compound.

Art Unit: 1633

Group VII, Claims 1, 3-5, 9-11 and 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named inhibitor of at least one component of the gamma-secretase complex, which is an NSAID.

Group VIII, Claims 1, 3-5, 9-11 and 14-15 and 17-22, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells and a particularly named inhibitor of at least one component of the gamma-secretase complex, which is a benzodiazepine.

Group IX, Claims 1, 3-4, 9-15, 17-25, drawn to a cell culture composition comprising pluripotent cells, the composition further comprising a feeder cell layer genetically engineered to express a gamma-secretase inhibitor (see pg 10, line 33 and pg 18, lines 12-14 for disclosure of structurally distinct contemplations). (Claims 1 and 15 are generic to an inhibitor of Notch signaling.)

Group X, Claims 36-39, drawn to a method of stabilizing human pluripotent stem cells, the method comprising providing a human feeder layer genetically engineered to express an inhibitor of Notch signaling.

Group XI, Claims 46 and 48-60, drawn to a method of stabilizing pluripotent stem cells, the method comprising contacting the pluripotent cell culture with an inhibitor of at least one component of the gamma secretase complex.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: The International Search Report has found that each group of the listed groups is either directed to a distinct goal or a materially distinct material/step wherein a distinct composition and/or technical feature is employed for achieving an intended goal. For example, the special technical feature of Group I is an inhibitor DAPT. The special technical feature of Group II is III-31-C. The special technical feature of Group III is L-685,458. The special technical feature of

Group IV is DFK-167. The special technical feature of Group V is  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyric acid. The special technical feature of Group VI is a Fenchylamine Sulfonamide compound. The special technical feature of Group VII is an NSAID. The special technical feature of Group VIII is a benzodiazepine C. The special technical feature of Group IX is a sub-genus of structurally undisclosed Notch inhibitors, e.g. a dominant-negative Notch protein. In addition, the special technical feature of each of the method groups as listed above is directed to a distinct goal and/or the use of a distinct material as claimed in a corresponding product claimed group. As the result, each method requires a specific technical feature and/or distinct goal.

3. **Claims 15 and 60 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:** genetically engineered inhibitors of Notch signaling, wherein Applicant contemplates a sub-genera of structurally distinct molecules (pg 10, line 33 and pg 18, lines 12-14), with specific molecules disclosed on pages 19-23. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features. The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 to elect a single disclosed genetically engineered inhibitor of Notch signaling species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

4. **This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:** pluripotent cell species, as recited in Claims 3-4, 17-18, and 48-49.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 15, 36 and 46 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

**Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species**, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.


Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin K. Hill, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-8036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, between 9:00am-6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph T. Woitach can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

*Kevin K. Hill*

  
**Q. JANICE LI, M.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**